

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/696,363		10/25/2000	Swarn S. Kalsi	05770-146001 4609		
26161	7590	02/13/2003				
FISH & RI		SON PC	EXAMINER			
225 FRANKLIN ST BOSTON, MA 02110				MULLINS, I	MULLINS, BURTON S	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2834		
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·•		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/696,363	KALSI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Burton S. Mullins	2834
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THIS on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  36(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>04 December 2002</u> . 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal (	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);	
<ul><li>(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the
<ul><li>(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceli</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>25,26 and 31</u> .		
Claim(s) objected to: <u>4-24,29 and 30</u>		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,27 and 28</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)  approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	· · · · · · · · ·	<i>2</i> 00
		Burton S. Mullins Primary Examiner



Application No.

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant's arguments are acknowledged; however, applicant reads limitations into the "thermal reserve" which are not in claims 1 and 27, e.g, the fact that applicant's thermal reserve "serves to absorb heat generated by the superconducting winding... in the event of cryocooler failure." Fritz still meets the claimed limitations of a "thermal reserve" which maintains the field winding at the claimed differential temperature, even though Fritz's thermal reserve absorbs heat from the surroundings. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993)..